

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,142	11/06/2003	Gyu-Chan Jeong	SEC.903D	7811
20987	7 7590 02/03/2005		EXAMINER	
VOLENTINE FRANCOS, & WHITT PLLC			KEENAN, JAMES W	
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20190			3652	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1
17	v.
ľ	U
	ß

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/702,142	JEONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James Keenan	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/079,262.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d					
	2 2222 226.23						
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
(PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/6/03</u> .	6)  Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/702,142

Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The specification should be updated with the status of the parent application as U.S. Patent No. 6,755,221.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pavloski et al (US 5,844,683) in view of Yamaga et al (US 6,390,754) and Weisler et al (US 6,443,686).

Pavloski shows a method of handling wafers wherein a robot 110 transfers wafers 70 from a cassette 25 into a chamber 30 of a manufacturing apparatus only

Art Unit: 3652

when a control signal is sent by a sensor 20 which determines the proper placement of the cassette on a support member 100 of a load port 30.

Pavloski shows a single optical sensor, rather than plural weight sensors for measuring the load exerted by the cassette on the support member, and thereby can not determine whether the bottom of the cassette is present at a plurality of sites above the support member. Pavloski does, however, note that alternative configurations of sensors can be used.

Yamaga shows a wafer processing system wherein a cassette of wafers can be detected and the number of wafers therein determined by weighing the cassette and comparing it to a known predetermined value. The weight sensor 108 is disclosed as an alternative to optical sensors (see col. 11, lines 29-46).

Wiesler shows a method of handling wafers in cassettes, including the use of multiple pins 48 at a load port for proper positioning of the cassette prior to manipulation of the wafers therein by a robot. Wiesler discloses that the pins "include sensors for detection" of the cassette, "as is known in the semiconductor field" (col. 4, lines 33-50).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the process of Pavloski by substituting the single optical sensor with a plurality of weight sensors to detect the cassette, as jointly suggested by Yamaga's teaching of the alternate equivalence of weight and optical sensors, and Wiesler's teaching that the use of multiple sensors is well known in the art, as this would provide improved operation by measuring the weight of the cassette at a plurality of sites to determine if it is properly positioned on the load port.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,142 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 703-308-

2559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ames Keenan

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3652

jwk 1/31/05